Intracoronary brachytherapy: will it rise from the ashes?

Manel Sabaté*, MD, PhD

*Corresponding author: Cardiovascular Institute, Hospital Clínic of Barcelona, c/Villarroel 170, 08019, Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: masabate@clinic.cat

ntravascular brachytherapy (IVBT) was implemented in clinical practice in late 1990s, before the advent of drug-eluting stents (DES). The rationale for its use was the ability of radiation therapy to treat proliferative benign processes such as exuberant keloid scar formation or ocular pterygia, among others^{1,2}. In-stent restenosis (ISR) was considered a prime target for IVBT, as it is mainly induced by an excess of neointimal proliferation. In this context, IVBT demonstrated an outstanding reduction in the rate of repeat revascularisation³⁻⁵. However, several limitations offset its initial benefit, such as late thrombosis, edge effect and late catch-up phenomenon⁶⁻⁹. Further, the logistics and the regulatory process to implement IVBT in the cath lab were cumbersome, limiting its widespread adoption. Eventually, the subsequent development of DES sidelined the technique in most cath labs around the world.

In this issue of EuroIntervention, Tanner et al report the 3-year outcomes of patients with multilayer drug-eluting ISR treated with IVBT¹⁰. This was an observational retrospective study that comprised patients treated with and without IVBT between 2012 and 2019. From a total of 647 patients with multilayer ISR, 453 (70%) were treated with IVBT. After propensity-matched adjusted analysis, the rate of major adverse clinical events was significantly lower in patients treated with IVBT at 3 years, compared to those without. The results of this study must be considered within the context of several limitations. First, statistical adjustments cannot correct for the inherent bias regarding treatment selection, which remained entirely at the treating physician's discretion. Further, none of the patients received drug-coated balloons (DCBs), which are the current standard of care outside

the US. Finally, data on dual antiplatelet therapy regimens received and very late stent thrombosis rates are lacking. Despite these limitations, the results of the study are relevant. They highlight an unmet clinical need regarding the treatment of recurrent events after stent implantation.

Article, see page 356

Several metal-free strategies have been implemented to treat or prevent stent-related events (Figure 1). Plain balloon angioplasty was the first option to treat ISR. However, its results were surpassed by those of additional DES

Technique	Indications	Evidence
Balloon angioplasty	In-stent restenosis <i>De novo</i> small vessels Side branch	- + -
Drug-coated balloon	In-stent restenosis <i>De novo</i> small vessels <i>De novo</i> large vessels Side branch	+++ ++ N/A +
Bioresorbable scaffold	<i>De novo</i> lesions polymer-based <i>De novo</i> lesions magnesium-based	_ N/A
Intracoronary brachytherapy	In-stent restenosis <i>De novo</i> lesions	+
N/A: not available		

Figure 1. *Metal-free strategies in interventional cardiology. N/A: not available*

implantation, IVBT and recently, DCBs. Growing evidence supports the use of DCBs. Paclitaxel-eluting balloons have demonstrated efficacy in treating ISR. Furthermore, they have shown potential for treating de novo lesions in small vessels and side branches in true bifurcations. The use of DCBs in large *de novo* lesions is under investigation. This metal-free technology cannot be granted any class effect, and each DCB must show their own evidence. Polymer-based bioresorbable scaffolds were designed to avoid permanent metallic prostheses and restore coronary vasomotion. However, the results of randomised trials have shown an increase in late thrombotic events and a lack of effect on vasomotion. Currently, magnesium-based bioresorbable scaffolds with a potentially safer profile are being tested in a pivotal trial involving de novo coronary lesions. Multilayer ISR might represent the last remaining niche for IVBT in dedicated centres. However, given the complex logistics and the lack of global interest from industry and the medical community in designing large, randomised trials addressing this issue, a revival of this technique in the next years is unlikely.

Author's affiliation

Interventional Cardiology Department, Cardiovascular Institute, Hospital Clínic, IDIBAPS, CIBER-CV, Barcelona, Spain

Conflict of interest statement

M. Sabaté reports consulting fees from iVascular and Abbott.

References

1. Kovalic JJ, Perez CA. Radiation therapy following keloidectomy: a 20-year experience. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1989;17:77-80.

- 2. Walter WL. Another look at pterygium surgery with postoperative beta radiation. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 1994;10:247-52.
- 3. Popma JJ, Suntharalingam M, Lansky AJ, Heuser RR, Speiser B, Teirstein PS, Massullo V, Bass T, Henderson R, Silber S, von Rottkay P, Bonan R, Ho KK, Osattin A, Kuntz RE; Stents And Radiation Therapy (START) Investigators. Randomized trial of 90Sr/90Y beta-radiation versus placebo control for treatment of in-stent restenosis. Circulation. 2002;106:1090-6.
- 4. Waksman R, Raizner AE, Yeung AC, Lansky AJ, Vandertie L. Use of localised intracoronary beta radiation in treatment of in-stent restenosis: the INHIBIT randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002;359:551-7.
- 5. Leon MB, Teirstein PS, Moses JW, Tripuraneni P, Lansky AJ, Jani S, Wong SC, Fish D, Ellis S, Holmes DR, Kerieakes D, Kuntz RE. Localized intracoronary gamma-radiation therapy to inhibit the recurrence of restenosis after stenting. N Engl J Med. 2001;344:250-6.
- 6. Costa MA, Sabaté M, van der Giessen WJ, Kay IP, Cervinka P, Ligthart JM, Serrano P, Coen VL, Levendag PC, Serruys PW. Late coronary occlusion after intracoronary brachytherapy. Circulation. 1999;100:789-92.
- 7. Sabaté M, Costa MA, Kozuma K, Kay IP, van der Giessen WJ, Coen VL, Ligthart JM, Serrano P, Levendag PC, Serruys PW. Geographic miss: a cause of treatment failure in radio-oncology applied to intracoronary radiation therapy. Circulation. 2000;101:2467-71.
- 8. Ferrero V, Ribichini F, Piessens M, Heyndrickx GR, Verbeke L, de Bruyne B, Feola M, Vassanelli C, Wijns W. Intracoronary beta-irradiation for the treatment of de novo lesions: 5-year clinical follow-up of the BetAce randomized trial. Am Heart J. 2007;153:398-402.
- 9. Sabaté M, Pimentel G, Prieto C, Corral JM, Bañuelos C, Angiolillo DJ, Alfonso F, Hernández-Antolín R, Escaned J, Fantidis P, Fernández C, Fernández-Ortiz A, Moreno R, Macaya C. Intracoronary brachytherapy after stenting de novo lesions in diabetic patients: results of a randomized intravascular ultrasound study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;44:520-7.
- 10. Tanner R, Dhulipala V, Joshi U, Vinayak M, Farhan S, Leone PP, Sartori S, Smith K, Buckstein M, Hooda A, Sharma R, Sweeny JM, Mehran R, Kini AS, Sharma SK. Long-term clinical outcomes of intravascular brachytherapy for multilayer drug-eluting in-stent restenosis. EuroIntervention. 2025;21:e356-65.